

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

• •				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/988,541	11/20/2001	Rovil P. Arcia	033297-11'5	8900
75	90 03/17/2004		EXAMINER	
KELLY J. MCCRYSTLE			WOO, JULIAN W	
PO BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	-7
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/200	4 /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/988,541	ARCIA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Julian W. Woo	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	••			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a least of the second of	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	1 April 2002.					
·	his action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		tters, prosecution as to the meri	its is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39 and 62</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,10,11,14,15,18-23,37,38 and 6</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-9,12,13,16,17,24-36 and 39</u> is/are subject to restriction and	frawn from consideration. 62 is/are rejected. re objected to.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage	e			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4. 	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
C. Dahard and Trademark Office						



Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 14 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With respect to base claim 14, "the end of the first body duct," an unpatentable part of the human body, is claimed as a structural part of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 10, 11, 15, 37, 38, and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McDonald (5.824.010). McDonald discloses the invention

Art Unit: 3731

substantially as claimed. McDonald discloses, in, e.g., figures 11A and 11B, and in col. 6, line 62 to col. 7, line 20, a device or graft suturing device for suturing an end of a first body duct to a hole in the side of a second body duct, where the device comprises a structure for holding the end of the first body duct (via sutures attached to the first body duct and connected to needles held by the structure), a plurality of needles arranged on the structure, a cylindrical shaft (38), and a plurality of curved guide channels (at 44) defining a plurality of paths for guiding the needles and radially configured about the shaft. However, McDonald does not specifically disclose that the needles are arranged to be passed into the first body duct and into the hole of the second body duct, when the end of the first body duct is on the structure adjacent to the hole in the second body duct. Nevertheless, McDonald discloses that the needles are "pointed at each end and suitably curved" to pass through a first body duct ("graft blood vessel") first and then positioned in the needle guide and the hole of a second body duct ("host blood vessel"). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the needles, so that they are passed into the first body duct and into the hole of the second body duct, when the end of the first body duct is on the structure (i.e., at least contacting the structure) and adjacent to the hole in the second body duct. Such a procedure would allow guidance of the needles and sutures between adjacent body ducts and completion of an anastomosis.

McDonald also does not disclose a kit, where the kit includes the device, instructions for the device's use and a package adapted to contain the device and the instructions. Nevertheless, it also would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 3731

art at the time the invention was made, to construct a kit including instructions and the device contained in a package. Such a kit would be convenient means for presenting a sterile, intact instrument for a surgeon's use and instructions for the surgeon's education in the proper use of the instrument.

5. Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McDonald in view of Amarasinghe (4,553,543). McDonald discloses the invention substantially as claimed, but does not disclose that the needles comprise shape memory alloy or a superelastic material. Amarasinghe teaches, in col. 3, lines 19-28, a suturing device with flexible needles made of spring steel, a superelastic, shape memory alloy. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in view of Amarasinghe, to form the needles of McDonald out of a shape memory alloy or a superelastic material. Such a material would allow the needles to bend easily and move through the guide channels, and the material would provide sufficient rigidity to maintain the points of the needles.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 5-9, 12, 13,16, 17, 24-36, and 39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 14 and 18-21 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3731

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior art of record, alone or in combination, discloses a device for suturing an end of a first body duct to a hole in the side of a second body duct, where the device includes, inter alia, a structure for holding the end of the first body duct and a plurality of needles arranged on guide channels of the structure, where the structure includes a shaft with a tubular surface against which the needles are positioned, where the guide channels comprise guide tubes, where the guide channels have a longitudinal slot, where the guide channels each have a gap separating first and second portions of a channel, and where the structure includes a tubular constraint or inner and outer tubes.

Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian W. Woo whose telephone number is (703) 308-0421. The examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Fri., 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM Eastern Time, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Milano can be reached at (703) 308-2496.

General inquiries relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-0858. The official FAX number is (703) 872-9306.

Julian W. Woo Primary Examiner

Unlian M. Moo

March 16, 2004